

A LOCAL $T(b)$ THEOREM FOR PERFECT MULTILINEAR CALDERÓN–ZYGmund OPERATORS

MARIUSZ MIREK AND CHRISTOPH THIELE

ABSTRACT. We prove a multilinear local $T(b)$ theorem that differs from previously considered multilinear local $T(b)$ theorems in using exclusively general testing functions b as opposed to a mix of general testing functions and indicator functions. The main new feature is a set of relations between the various testing functions b that to our knowledge has not been observed in the literature and is necessitated by our approach. For simplicity we restrict attention to the perfect dyadic model.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of $T(1)$ and $T(b)$ theorems was started in the 1980's papers [5] and [6] as a push to develop a general theory of Calderón–Zygmund operators applicable for example in the investigation of the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves. The first *local* $T(b)$ theorem appears in Christ's paper [4] with applications to analytic capacity. In recent years, the idea of *testing* that lies behind $T(1)$ and $T(b)$ theorems has become influential in a wider array of topics related to singular integrals such as for example sharp weighted estimates.

The topic of multilinear $T(1)$ theorems was discussed in the companion papers [8],[9]. More recently multilinear local $T(b)$ theorems have been studied in [10]. There a certain square function is tested with general testing functions b , while the dual operators to the operator in question are still tested with characteristic functions 1. A *global* bilinear $T(b)$ theorem with testing functions b throughout appears in [11].

In this paper we propose a multilinear local $T(b)$ theorem which only tests with general testing functions b . This level of generality appears to force a set of explicit constraints between the various testing functions b , a phenomenon which we did not find discussed in the literature. Clarification of the precise nature of these constraints was a motivation for the present paper, as we encountered the possibility of such constraints in the similar but more complicated context of entangled operators in [14], where as of yet we have been unable to clarify the nature of an envisioned local $T(b)$ theorem.

For simplicity we restrict attention to the perfect Calderón–Zygmund setting discussed in [1]. To gain efficiency from symmetry we discuss multilinear forms which are dual to multilinear operators. A dyadic cube in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a cube whose sides are dyadic intervals, that is intervals of the form $[2^m l, 2^m(l+1))$ with integers m, l . A dyadic test function is a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of dyadic cubes. An n -linear form Λ mapping n -tuples of dyadic test functions to the set of real numbers is called a perfect Calderón–Zygmund form if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) *Dyadic decay:* If each of the dyadic test function $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is supported on the same dyadic cube P , and if in addition two of these functions are supported on different dyadic children

M. Mirek was supported by the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics and NCN grant DEC–2012/05/D/ST1/00053. C. Thiele was supported by the NSF grant DMS-1001535 and the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics .

of P , where a dyadic child means a dyadic subcube of half of the sidelength, then

$$(1.1) \quad |\Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j},$$

where $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ is any Hölder tuple of finite exponents, that is $1 < p_j < \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n 1/p_j = 1$. This condition is a dyadic version of standard pointwise decay estimates for Calderón-Zygmund operators away from the diagonal.

- (ii) *Perfect smoothness*: If one of the functions f_j is supported on some dyadic cube P and has mean zero, and if another one of the functions vanishes on that cube, then

$$(1.2) \quad \Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 0.$$

This condition is a very strong dyadic version of standard decay estimates for derivatives of Calderón-Zygmund operators away from the diagonal.

- (iii) *Qualitative truncation*: The integral kernel of the form Λ is a dyadic test function in $\mathbb{R}^{dn}$. This condition is a dyadic version of standard truncation assumptions on Calderón-Zygmund operators, which are used to give sense to explicit integral formulas for Calderón-Zygmund operators but which do not usually enter a priori bounds for these operators in a quantitative way.

Generally the idea behind a local $T(1)$ or $T(b)$ theorem is that a Hölder estimate for an n -linear Calderón-Zygmund form can be deduced from validity of the desired estimate for a very restricted set of testing tuples of functions. We recall the perfect multilinear local $T(1)$ theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Perfect multilinear local $T(1)$ theorem). *Let $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ and let Λ be a perfect n -linear Calderón-Zygmund form in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $1 < p_j < \infty$ be a Hölder tupe of exponents. Assume there is a constant $B \geq 1$ such that*

$$|\Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq B \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

for all dyadic cubes P and all tuples $(f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ of functions such that all but one of the functions in this tuple are the characteristic function of P while the remaining function is an arbitrary dyadic test function supported on P .

Then for some constant C depending on d, n, B , and the tuple $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, we have

$$|\Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

for all n -tuples of dyadic test functions $(f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$.

This theorem has been folklore in the field for some time, a continuous version of a multilinear $T(1)$ theorem appears in [8], and a proof of Theorem 1.3 can be deduced from a similar theorem in [14].

A $T(b)$ theorem is a variant of the $T(1)$ theorem, where the characteristic functions of a cube P are replaced by more general functions b which also have mean one on the cube P . In the present paper we illustrate a multilinear local $T(b)$ theorem with a natural set of interdependencies between the various functions b . To describe these interdependencies we need some formal setup.

Let I_n denote the set of integers m with $1 \leq m \leq n$. A path in I_n of length k with $1 \leq k \leq n$ is an injective mapping $\sigma : I_k \rightarrow I_n$.

We say that a collection Σ of paths in I_n is admissible if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For each $j \in I_n$ there is a path $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of length one with $\sigma(1) = j$.

- (2) For each path $\tilde{\sigma} \in \Sigma$ of any length $k < n$ there is a path $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of length $k + 1$ whose restriction to I_k coincides with $\tilde{\sigma}$.
- (3) For each path $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of any length $k \geq 2$ there is a path $\tau \in \Sigma$ of the same length k which coincides with σ on the set I_{k-2} and satisfies $\sigma(k-1) = \tau(k)$ and $\tau(k-1) = \sigma(k)$.

After stating Theorem 1.4 below we give a fairly minimal example of an admissible collection. Let σ be a path of length $k \leq n$. We say that an n -tuple $\mathbf{Q}$ of dyadic cubes is σ -nested if for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ we have

$$Q_{\sigma(i)} \supseteq Q_{\sigma(j)}$$

and whenever $s \in I_n$ is not in the range of σ we have

$$Q_s = Q_{\sigma(k)};$$

in the case $k = n$ we additionally require that $Q_{\sigma(n-1)} = Q_{\sigma(n)}$.

We now state the main new theorem in this paper.

Theorem 1.4 (Perfect multilinear local $T(b)$ theorem). *Let $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq \min(k, 2)$ be integers. Let Λ be an n -linear form acting on n -tuples of dyadic test functions on the real line and being associated with a perfect Calderón–Zygmund kernel. Let $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ be a Hölder-tuple of exponents.*

*Assume we are given an admissible collection Σ of paths and assume for each path $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of length k and each σ -nested tuple $\mathbf{Q}$ of dyadic cubes, and each $j \in \sigma(I_{k-1})$ we are given a function $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, j}$, so that for some constant $B \geq 1$ the following properties are satisfied:
Support condition:*

$$(1.5) \quad \text{supp}(b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, j}) \subseteq Q_j.$$

Mean condition:

$$(1.6) \quad \int b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, j} = |Q_j|.$$

Norm bound condition:

$$(1.7) \quad \int |b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, j}|^{p_j} \leq B|Q_j|.$$

Interdependence condition: If $\sigma', \mathbf{Q}'$ and $1 \leq j < k$ are such that we have for all $1 \leq l \leq j$ $\sigma(l) = \sigma'(l)$ and $Q(l) = Q'(l)$, then we have

$$(1.8) \quad b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, j} = b_{\sigma', \mathbf{Q}', j}.$$

Testing condition: For all dyadic test functions g supported on $Q_{\sigma(k)}$ we have

$$(1.9) \quad |\Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq B \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j},$$

where $f_{\sigma(k)} = g$ and $f_{\sigma(l)} = \mathbf{1}_{Q_{\sigma(k)}} b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, \sigma(l)}$ for $l < k$ and $f_s = \mathbf{1}_{Q_{\sigma(k)}}$ for s which is not in the range of σ .

Then for some constant C depending on n, d , the constant B , and the Hölder tuple $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, we have

$$(1.10) \quad |\Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

for any n -tuple $(f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ of dyadic test functions.

Note that the case $k = 1$ of the local $T(b)$ theorem is the same as the local $T(1)$ theorem. The strength of the theorem strictly increases in k , as one can deduce the theorem for lower values of k by specializing some functions $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, j}$ to characteristic functions. The case $k = n$ is the one of main interest. We choose to introduce the parameter k so as to induct on it.

As an example of an admissible collection of paths, consider the collection of all paths that satisfy the following two properties:

- (i) The range of a path of length k contains I_{k-1} .
- (ii) If $j \leq k$, then I_j contains at least $j - 1$ elements of the image of I_j under the path.

To see that this collection is admissible, first note that the collection contains all paths of length one since conditions (i) and (ii) are void for paths of length one. Hence the collection satisfies (1). Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a path of length $k < n$ in the collection. Set σ the path extending $\tilde{\sigma}$ by $\sigma(k+1)$ being the minimal element not in the range of $\tilde{\sigma}$. Then σ satisfies (i) since the range of $\tilde{\sigma}$ contains I_{k-1} and if the range of $\tilde{\sigma}$ does not already contain I_k then $\sigma(k+1) = k$. To see that σ satisfies (ii), it suffices to check for $j = k+1$. But I_{k+1} contains at least k elements of the range of σ since I_k contains at least $k-1$ elements and $\sigma(k+1)$ is at most $k+1$. Hence σ satisfies (i) and (ii) and thus the collection satisfies (2). Now let σ be a path in the collection, and let τ be the path described in (3). We need to show that τ is in the collection. Property (i) is clear since the range of σ equals that of τ . Property (ii) is only nontrivial for $j = k-1$. The property is clear by monotonicity if $\tau(k-1)$ is in I_{k-1} . If $\tau(k-1)$ is not in I_{k-1} , then $\sigma(k)$ is not in I_{k-1} and by (i) we have that the range of I_{k-1} under σ is I_{k-1} . This implies (ii) for τ .

Another special case of our main theorem arises by choosing n appropriate functions $(b_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ and letting $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, k}$ be suitably normalized restrictions of these functions, that is with $j = \sigma(k)$,

$$b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, \sigma(k)} = b_j \mathbb{1}_{Q_j} [b_j]_{Q_j}^{-1},$$

where we have used the following notation for an average:

$$[g]_P := |P|^{-1} \int_P g.$$

We then obtain as straightforward corollary of the local theorem, following the global/local reduction outlined in [1]:

Theorem 1.11 (Perfect multilinear global $T(b)$ theorem). *Let $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$ and let Λ be an n -linear form acting on n -tuples of dyadic test functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated with a perfect Calderón-Zygmund kernel. Let $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ be a Hölder-tuple of exponents and assume we are given functions $(b_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ with the following properties:*

Pseudo-accretivity condition: For all dyadic cubes Q

$$(1.12) \quad |[b_j]_Q| \geq 1.$$

Norm bound condition:

$$(1.13) \quad \|b_j\|_\infty \leq B.$$

Weak boundedness condition: For all dyadic cubes Q

$$(1.14) \quad |\Lambda(b_1 \mathbb{1}_Q, \dots, b_n \mathbb{1}_Q)| \leq B.$$

BMO condition: For any k and any dyadic test function g

$$(1.15) \quad |\Lambda(\dots, g, \dots)| \leq B \|g\|_{H_1},$$

where the j -th entry in the form is b_j for $j \neq k$ and g for $j = k$, and $\|g\|_{H_1}$ is the norm of the dyadic Hardy space (pre-dual of dyadic BMO). Then for some constant C depending on n, d , the

constant B , and the Hölder tuple $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ we have

$$(1.16) \quad |\Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

for any n -tuple $(f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ of dyadic test functions.

A bilinear continuous version of this theorem appears in [11]. Theorem 1.4 arose from our efforts to adapt the techniques of [1] and subsequent papers to the multilinear setting, setting up an induction on the number of functions b that are not characteristic functions. In order to induct, we also refined the technique in [1] so as to use only multilinear estimates with one fixed set of Hölder tuples. Our approach might give the reader new insights into the proof of the local $T(b)$ theorem for dyadic model operators in the linear case as well. We attempted to keep a maximal degree of symmetry in the argument between dual versions of the same argument.

We outline briefly the aspect of precise exponents in the norm bounds (1.7) on the testing functions. In the earliest local $T(b)$ theorem, [4], Christ assumed that $b_Q^1, b_Q^2, Tb_Q^1, T^*b_Q^2 \in L^\infty$ uniformly with respect to Q . In [16] Nazarov, Treil and Volberg proved, in a non-doubling measure setup, that it suffices to assume $b_Q^1, b_Q^2 \in L^\infty$ and $Tb_Q^1, T^*b_Q^2 \in \text{BMO}$ uniformly in Q . Auscher, Hofmann, Muscalu, Tao and Thiele [1] for dyadic model operators relaxed these conditions assuming only $b_Q^1 \in L^p$, $b_Q^2 \in L^q$, $Tb_Q^1 \in L^{q'}$ and $T^*b_Q^2 \in L^{p'}$ for any $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ where the different norms are appropriately scaled relative to $|Q|$, see also [15].

In 2008 Hofmann during his plenary lectures at the International Conference on Harmonic Analysis and P.D.E. in El Escorial formulated the question whether these testing conditions for the model dyadic case also suffice for genuine singular integral operators. The question was motivated by possible applications to layer potentials and to free boundary theory. Hofmann himself proved that it suffices to assume $b_Q^1, b_Q^2 \in L^{2+\varepsilon}$ and $Tb_Q^1, T^*b_Q^2 \in L^2$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Auscher and Yang [3] eliminated $\varepsilon > 0$ from Hofmann's theorem by reducing the matters to the dyadic case from [1]. In fact they covered the sub-duality case $1/p + 1/q \leq 1$. Auscher and Routin [2] covered the super-duality case $1/p + 1/q \geq 1$ under some technical assumption rather difficult to verify. Finally, Hytönen and Nazarov [13] provided the positive answer to Hofmann's question.

We comment one specific aspect of our proof: certain relatively standard estimates near the end of the proof are accomplished using the outer measure language from [7]. We found this language very useful here and hope the investment into understanding the novel language will pay off in related questions of this kind, as it has been done in the present case.

A natural question which deserves for further investigation concerns the extensions of our theorem to standard Calderón-Zygmund operators.

2. PROOF OF THE PERFECT MULTILINEAR LOCAL $T(b)$ THEOREM

2.1. General setup. We prove Theorem 1.4 by induction on k . For $k = 1$ the theorem specializes to Theorem 1.3 and this establishes the induction beginning. Let $k \geq 1$ and assume that the statement of Theorem 1.4 is true for this particular k . We then have to prove the theorem with k replaced by $k + 1$.

Assume we are given $n \geq k + 2$ and an n -linear perfect Calderón-Zygmund form Λ and an admissible collection Σ of paths in I_n . For every admissible path σ of length $k + 1$ and every σ -nested tuple $\mathbf{Q}$ and every $i \leq k$ we are given $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, \sigma(i)}$ satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1.4.

For each admissible path $\tilde{\sigma}$ of length k and each $\tilde{\sigma}$ -nested tuple $\mathbf{Q}$ and each $j < k$ we define

$$\tilde{b}_{\tilde{\sigma}, \mathbf{Q}, \tilde{\sigma}(j)} := b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, \sigma(j)},$$

where σ is any admissible path of length $k + 1$ extending the path $\tilde{\sigma}$. Note that such a path exists by the definition of admissibility, that $\mathbf{Q}$ is also σ -nested, and that the function on the right-hand

side does not depend on the particular choice of the extended path σ by the interdependence assumption (1.8). If $k+1 = n$, then $\mathbf{Q}$ satisfies the requirement $Q_{\sigma(n-1)} = Q_{\sigma(n)}$.

Then clearly this new set of testing functions satisfies the support assumption (1.5), the mean assumption (1.6), the norm bound assumption (1.7), and the interdependence assumption (1.8) of Theorem 1.4 for k . The main part of the proof is to establish the testing condition (1.9) for this collection $\tilde{b}_{\tilde{\sigma}, \mathbf{Q}, j}$ for some possibly new constant B depending only on n, d , the given constant B , and the tuple $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$. Then boundedness of Λ follows by the induction hypothesis.

Let A be the best constant in the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad |\Lambda(f_1, \dots, f_n)| \leq A \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

for any admissible path $\tilde{\sigma}$ of length k , any $\tilde{\sigma}$ -nested tuple $\mathbf{Q}$, and any dyadic test function $f_{\tilde{\sigma}(k)}$, where $f_{\tilde{\sigma}(j)} = \mathbb{1}_{Q_{\tilde{\sigma}(k)}} \tilde{b}_{\tilde{\sigma}, \mathbf{Q}, \tilde{\sigma}(j)}$ whenever $j < k$, and where $f_s = \mathbb{1}_{Q_{\tilde{\sigma}(k)}}$ for any s which is not in the range of $\tilde{\sigma}$.

By the truncation assumption on the form Λ , the constant A is finite. We will show that A can be estimated by a constant depending only on n, d, B , and the tuple $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, which will establish the testing assumption (1.9) for the collection $\tilde{b}_{\tilde{\sigma}, \mathbf{Q}, j}$. Then the induction hypothesis will do the job and Theorem 1.4 is established for $k+1$.

Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be an admissible path of length k and $\mathbf{Q}$ a $\tilde{\sigma}$ -nested tuple and $f_{\tilde{\sigma}(k)}$ a dyadic test function such that equality in (2.1) is attained for this data. Since Λ has finite rank, such extremal point exists. Indeed, the extremal function $f_{\tilde{\sigma}(k)}$ can be chosen to be a dyadic test function.

Let σ be an admissible extension of $\tilde{\sigma}$ of length $k+1$. So far the entire setup is symmetric under permutation of the numbers $1, \dots, n$, so to simplify notation by symmetry we may assume σ is the path

$$\sigma(j) = j$$

for $j < k$ and

$$\sigma(k) = k+1, \quad \sigma(k+1) = k.$$

We shall also need the path τ of length $k+1$ which interchanges the last two steps of σ , that is the identity embedding $\tau(j) = j$ for $j \leq k+1$. Note that $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, j}$ coincides with $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}, j}$ for $j < k$ by the interdependence assumption (1.8). Note also that $Q_k = Q_{k+1}$. We set $Q := Q_k = Q_{k+1}$.

For a dyadic cube P define with $f_{\sigma(j)} = \mathbb{1}_Q \tilde{b}_{\tilde{\sigma}, \mathbf{Q}, \tilde{\sigma}(j)}$ whenever $j < k$, and $f_s = \mathbb{1}_Q$ for any s which is not in the range of σ :

$$\Lambda_P(\varrho, \tilde{\varrho}) := \Lambda(f_1 \mathbb{1}_P, \dots, f_{k-1} \mathbb{1}_P, \varrho \mathbb{1}_P, \tilde{\varrho} \mathbb{1}_P, f_{k+2} \mathbb{1}_P, \dots, f_n \mathbb{1}_P),$$

that is the k -th and $k+1$ -st entry are $\varrho \mathbb{1}_P$ and $\tilde{\varrho} \mathbb{1}_P$ respectively, while the j -th entry with $j \neq k, k+1$ is $f_j \mathbb{1}_P$. Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad |\Lambda_Q(f_k, f_{k+1})| = A \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

with $f_k = \mathbb{1}_Q$ and with f_{k+1} the chosen extremizing function.

2.2. The first stopping time. We consider the setup of the previous section, in particular the paths σ, τ and the tuple $\mathbf{Q}$ have these specific meanings, as well as the chosen functions f_j . We introduce the abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} g &:= f_{k+1}, \quad h := f_k, \\ q &:= p_{k+1}, \quad r := p_k. \end{aligned}$$

We also abbreviate the particular testing function $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, k+1}$ by u . We continue to write $[\varrho]_P$ for the average of a function ϱ over a cube P , and we write ϱ_P for the truncated function $\varrho \mathbf{1}_P$. We define

$$F := \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j\|_{p_j} = \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_Q\|_{p_j}.$$

Finally, we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that

$$\varepsilon = (1/8) \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (7/8)^{p_j/(p_j-1)} B^{-1/(p_j-1)}.$$

We define a stopping time inside Q , that is a collection of pairwise disjoint cubes contained in Q which have good properties relative to the functions f_j , the form Λ , and the testing functions.

Let $\mathbf{P}_1$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes P contained in Q for which there exists a $1 \leq j \leq n$ with

$$(2.3) \quad |P|^{-1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_P\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \geq n \varepsilon^{-1} |Q|^{-1} \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j}.$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_2$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes P contained in Q which satisfy

$$(2.4) \quad |P|^{-1} \|u_P\|_q^q \geq \varepsilon^{-1} |Q|^{-1} \|u\|_q^q.$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_3$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes P contained in Q for which there exists a nonzero function ϱ supported on P with mean zero such that

$$(2.5) \quad |\Lambda_P(\varrho, u)| \geq BF \varepsilon^{-1} (|P|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} \|\varrho\|_r \|u\|_q.$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_4$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes P contained in Q which satisfy

$$(2.6) \quad |[u]_P| \leq 1/8.$$

Let $\mathbf{P}_5$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes P contained in Q which are contained in at least $2^d \varepsilon^{-1}$ many dyadic cubes which are parents of cubes in $\mathbf{P}_4$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes in $\mathbf{P}_1 \cup \mathbf{P}_2 \cup \mathbf{P}_3 \cup \mathbf{P}_4 \cup \mathbf{P}_5$.

We claim that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} |P| \leq (1 - \varepsilon) |Q|.$$

To verify the claim, we discuss the sets $\mathbf{P}_1$ through $\mathbf{P}_5$ separately. The collection $\mathbf{P}_1$ consists of pairwise disjoint cubes and satisfies

$$\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_1} |P| \leq \varepsilon n^{-1} |Q| \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j \mathbf{1}_P\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{-p_j} \leq \varepsilon |Q|.$$

The collection $\mathbf{P}_2$ is estimated similarly. To estimate $\mathbf{P}_3$, consider for each $P \in \mathbf{P}_3$ a function ϱ_P supported on P with mean zero satisfying $\|\varrho_P\|_r^r = |P|$ and inequality (2.5) without the absolute value on the left-hand side. Then we have with the testing assumption (1.9) for σ , $\mathbf{Q}$, k :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_3} BF \varepsilon^{-1} |P| |Q|^{-1+1/r} \|u\|_q &\leq \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_3} \Lambda_P(\varrho_P, u) \leq \left| \Lambda_Q \left(\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_3} \varrho_P, u \right) \right| \\ &\leq BF \left\| \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_3} \varrho_P \right\|_r \|u\|_q \leq BF |Q|^{1/r} \|u\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_3} |P| \leq \varepsilon |Q|.$$

To estimate the collection $\mathbf{P}_4$, set $E = Q \setminus \bigcup_{P \in \mathbf{P}_4} P$. Then

$$|E|^{1-1/q} \|u\|_q \geq \left| \int_E u \right| = |Q| - \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_4} |P| |u|_P \geq |Q| - \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_4} (1/8) |P| \geq (7/8) |Q|.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} |E|^{1-1/q} B^{1/q} &\geq (7/8) |Q|^{1-1/q}, \\ |E| &\geq (7/8)^{q/(q-1)} B^{-1/(q-1)} |Q|, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies

$$\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_4} |P| \leq (1 - 8\varepsilon) |Q|.$$

Finally, we have the estimate

$$\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_5} |P| \leq (2^{-d} \varepsilon) \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_4} 2^d |P| \leq \varepsilon |Q|.$$

Adding the contributions from $\mathbf{P}_1$ through $\mathbf{P}_5$ proves the claim.

We call the cubes in $\mathbf{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{P}_5$ the stopping cubes. We note that if P is not contained in any child or grandchild of a stopping cube, then we have the following upper bounds with a constant C depending only on d, n, B ,

$$(2.8) \quad |P|^{-1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_P\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \leq C |Q|^{-1} \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j},$$

$$(2.9) \quad |P|^{-1} \|u_P\|_q^q \leq C |Q|^{-1} \|u\|_q^q \leq C,$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad |\Lambda_P(\varrho, u)| \leq C(|P|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} \|\varrho\|_r \|u\|_q \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j\|_{p_j}$$

for any function ϱ supported on P and with mean zero. For the cubes P not contained in any stopping cube this is clear by the construction. For the stopping cubes themselves or their children this follows by observing the estimate for the parent or grandparent of the cube and deducing the estimate with a modified constant for the cube itself. Such passage to the stopping cubes applies only for the upper bounds listed above, the threshold (2.6) leads to the lower bound

$$(2.11) \quad |[u]_P| \geq 1/8$$

only for all cubes not contained in a stopping cube of type $\mathbf{P}_4$. It does not yield analogous lower bounds for the stopping cubes in $\mathbf{P}_4$ themselves. This is the reason for introducing the collection $\mathbf{P}_5$ and the special arguments concerning $\mathbf{P}_4$ below.

2.3. Pruning the function g . In this section we replace the function g with a modified function $\mathbf{g}$ which is adapted to the first stopping time.

Let $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_4$ be the collection of parents of dyadic cubes in $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4$ and let $\mathbf{P}'_4$ be the collection of dyadic cubes which are siblings of cubes in $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4$ but not themselves cubes in $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4$.

Define

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbf{g} = g - [g]_Q u - \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} g_P + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P - \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4} g_P + \sum_{P \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4} \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P - \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}'_4} \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P$$

and note that $\mathbf{g}$ is still supported on Q and $[\mathbf{g}]_Q = 0$ and $\|\mathbf{g}\|_q^q \leq C \|g\|_q^q$.

We claim that the desired bound for A in (2.2) follows from

$$(2.13) \quad |\Lambda_Q(h, g - \mathbf{g})| \leq (A(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/p_k} + C) F \|g\|_q \|h\|_r$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad |\Lambda_Q(h, \mathbf{g})| \leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

Here and in the sequel C denotes a constant which depends only on B , n , d , and the tuple $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, but may vary from line to line. Indeed, to verify the claim, it suffices now to expand in identity (2.2) the function g into $\mathbf{g}$ plus correction term and make use of (2.13) and (2.14). Then dividing both sides by the product of norms we obtain

$$A \leq A(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/p_k} + C.$$

Solving A from this inequality the desired bound for A is established and the matters are reduced to proving (2.13) and (2.14).

We begin with the bound in (2.13) and estimate separately the contributions of the various terms of the difference $g - \mathbf{g}$. For this purpose for each $P \in \mathbf{P}$ we add and subtract to $g - \mathbf{g}$ a new term involving the function $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}$ associated with the permutation σ and the chain $\mathbf{Q}_P$ given by

$$Q_1 \supseteq Q_2 \dots \supseteq Q_{k-1} \supseteq P \supseteq \dots \supseteq P,$$

that is the chain $\mathbf{Q}_P$ coincides with $\mathbf{Q}$ up to entry $k-1$ and then stabilizes to P . Therefore, we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad g - \mathbf{g} = [g]_Q u + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} (g_P - [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1})$$

$$(2.16) \quad + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \left([g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1} - \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P \right)$$

$$(2.17) \quad + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4} [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1} - \sum_{P \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4} \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}'_4} \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P.$$

We have by multilinearity and the testing assumption (1.9)

$$|\Lambda_Q(h, [g]_Q u)| \leq CF [g]_Q \|u\|_q \|h\|_r \leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

In the second inequality we have estimated the mean of g by Hölder's inequality and the norm of u by the norm bound assumption (1.7). This establishes the desired estimate for the first term of (2.15) in the expansion of $g - \mathbf{g}$.

Next we consider the sum in (2.15) involving the stopping cubes from $\mathbf{P}$. We calculate with multilinearity and the smoothness condition (1.2)

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_Q \left(h, \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} (g_P - [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}) \right) &= \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} \Lambda_P(h, g - [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} \Lambda_P(h, g) - \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} \Lambda_P(h, [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

For the first summand in (2.18) we use estimate (2.1) with A for the data τ , $\mathbf{Q}_P$ and obtain by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} |\Lambda_P(h, g)| &\leq A \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j \mathbf{1}_P\|_{p_j} \leq A \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_P\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \right)^{1/p_j} \\ &\leq AF \left(\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} |P| \right)^{1/r} \|g\|_q \leq AF(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/r} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $h = \mathbb{1}_Q$ and that $\sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} |P| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)|Q|$. The second term in (2.18) we estimate by the testing assumption (1.9) with the data $\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} |\Lambda_P(h, [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1})| &\leq C \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} \|[g]_P\| \|b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}\|_q \|h_P\|_r \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbb{1}_P\|_{p_j} \\ &\leq C \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}} \|g_P\|_q \|h_P\|_r \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbb{1}_P\|_{p_j} \leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the upper bounds (2.8) including the cases $f_{k+1} = g$ and $f_k = h$.

We now consider the sum in (2.16). We use a vanishing mean again to write

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_Q\left(h, \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1} - \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P\right) \\ (2.19) \quad &= \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \Lambda_P(h, [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}) - \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \Lambda_P\left(h, \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u\right) \end{aligned}$$

The first term in (2.19) is estimated similarly as before. To estimate the second term in (2.19) we add and subtract a term, involving the function $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_P, k}$ associated with the path τ and the chain $\mathbf{Q}_P$ as above, so that we obtain for that term

$$(2.20) \quad \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \Lambda_P\left([h]_P b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_P, k}, \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u\right) + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \Lambda_P\left(h - [h]_P b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_P, k}, \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u\right).$$

The first term in (2.20) is estimated by the testing assumption (1.9) with the data $\tau, \mathbf{Q}_P$ by

$$C \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \left| \frac{[h]_P [g]_P}{[u]_P} \right| \|b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_P, k}\|_r \|u_P\|_q \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbb{1}_P\|_{p_j} \leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

Here we have used similarly as above the upper bounds (2.8) and (2.9) and (2.11), and that the cubes in $\mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4$ are not contained in any of the cubes $\mathbf{P}_4$ and thus satisfy (2.11). We also used (1.7) for $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_P, k}$ and (2.7).

The second term in (2.20) we estimate with (2.10) by

$$CF \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} (|P|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} \left| \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} \right| \|h_P - [h]_P b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_P, k}\|_r \|u\|_q \leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

The terms of the expansion (2.17) of $g - \mathbf{g}$ involving $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_4$ are similar but slightly more involved since the lower bound on the average of $b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}, k+1}$ is not available and one has to therefore work with parent and sibling cubes. Let $\overline{P}$ denote the parent cube of a dyadic cube P . Then we rewrite (2.17) as $\sum_{P \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4} \xi^P$, where for each $P \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4$ the function ξ^P is defined as

$$(2.21) \quad - \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P + \sum_{P' \in \mathbf{P}_4: \overline{P'} = P} \frac{[g]_{P'}}{[u]_{P'}} u_{P'} + \sum_{P' \in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4: \overline{P'} = P} [g]_{P'} b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_{P'}, k+1}.$$

Exactly P and the children of P contribute to ξ^P . Note that at least one child of P is in $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4$. The mean of ξ^P is zero. Hence we can write with the smoothness condition (1.2)

$$\sum_{P \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4} \Lambda_Q(h, \xi^P) = \sum_{P \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4} \Lambda_P(h, \xi^P).$$

Expanding ξ^P again into three terms as in (2.21) and considering the terms separately, we obtain in analogy to (2.19)

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4} \Lambda_{\overline{P}}(h, [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}) - \sum_{P \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4} \Lambda_P\left(h, \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u\right) + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}'_4} \Lambda_{\overline{P}}\left(h, \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u\right)$$

To estimate the first term in (2.22), we write for each $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$f_j \mathbf{1}_{\overline{P}} = f_j \mathbf{1}_P + \sum_{P' \neq P, \overline{P'} = \overline{P}} f_j \mathbf{1}_{P'}$$

and expand the multilinear form correspondingly. Any term in the expansion which has a $f_j \mathbf{1}_{P'}$ for some j can be estimated by the decay condition (1.1) so that we obtain for the penultimate display the upper bound

$$\left| \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4} \Lambda_P(h, [g]_P b_{\sigma, \mathbf{Q}_P, k+1}) \right| + C \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_4} \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j \mathbf{1}_{\overline{P}}\|_{p_j} \leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

Here we have estimated the first term as for the cubes $\mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4$ and we have applied stopping conditions as before.

The second and third terms in (2.22) are estimated similarly to the case of cubes in $\mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4$. The cubes in $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_4$ are not pairwise disjoint, but they have bounded overlap since they are not contained in any cube of $\mathbf{P}_5$ by construction. Similarly the cubes in $\mathbf{P}'_4$ have bounded overlap. This completes the proof of (2.13). The proof of Theorem 1.4 will be completed if we establish (2.14).

2.4. The second stopping time and pruning the function h . Now let A' be the best constant so that for all dyadic cubes $R \subseteq Q$ we have the estimate

$$(2.23) \quad |\Lambda_R(h, \mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_R [u]_R^{-1} u)| \leq A' F |R| |Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

The constant A' is again finite since Λ satisfies the truncation assumption. We will show that A' can be estimated from above by a constant C depending only on n, d, B , and $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$. This will establish (2.14) by setting $R = Q$ since $[\mathbf{g}]_Q = 0$.

Fix a dyadic cube R such that equality in (2.23) is attained. Again such a cube R exists since Λ satisfies the truncation assumption. We may assume that R is not contained in any stopping cube of the first stopping time since for such cubes $(\mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_R [u]_R^{-1} u) \mathbf{1}_R = 0$. We set $\hat{\mathbf{g}} := (\mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_R [u]_R^{-1} u) \mathbf{1}_R$.

Consider the functions $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{R,j}}$ where $\mathbf{Q}_R$ is the chain

$$Q_1 \supseteq Q_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq Q_{k-1} \supseteq R \supseteq \dots \supseteq R.$$

For the simplicity we shall write v for $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{R,k}}$.

We invoke a second stopping time. Let $\mathbf{S}_1$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes S contained in R for which there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ with

$$(2.24) \quad |S|^{-1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_S\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \geq n \varepsilon^{-1} |R|^{-1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_R\|_{p_j}^{p_j}.$$

or

$$(2.25) \quad |S|^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}_S\|_q^q \geq \varepsilon^{-1} |R|^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}_R\|_q^q.$$

Let $\mathbf{S}_2$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes S contained in R which satisfy

$$(2.26) \quad |S|^{-1} \|v_S\|_r^r \geq 2 \varepsilon^{-1} |R|^{-1} \|v\|_r^r$$

or

$$(2.27) \quad |S|^{-1} \|u_S\|_q^q \geq 2 \varepsilon^{-1} |R|^{-1} \|u_R\|_q^q$$

Let $\mathbf{S}_3$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes S contained in R for which there exists a nonzero function ϱ supported on S with mean zero such that

$$(2.28) \quad |\Lambda_S(v, \varrho)| \geq B\varepsilon^{-1}(|S|/|R|)^{1-1/q} \|\varrho\|_q \|v\|_r \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_R\|_{p_j},$$

or there exists a nonzero function ϱ supported on S with mean zero such that

$$(2.29) \quad |\Lambda_S(\varrho, u)| \geq B\varepsilon^{-1}(|S|/|R|)^{1-1/r} \|\varrho\|_r \|u_R\|_q \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_R\|_{p_j}.$$

Let $\mathbf{S}_4$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes S contained in R which satisfy

$$(2.30) \quad |[v]_S| \leq 1/8.$$

Let $\mathbf{S}_5$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes S contained in R which are contained in at least $2^d \varepsilon^{-1}$ many dyadic cubes which are parents of cubes in $\mathbf{S}_4$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the collection of maximal dyadic cubes in $\mathbf{S}_1 \cup \mathbf{S}_2 \cup \mathbf{S}_3 \cup \mathbf{S}_4 \cup \mathbf{S}_5$.

Then we have similarly as for the first stopping time

$$(2.31) \quad \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |S| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)|R|.$$

Also we obtain the following upper in analogy to the first stopping time. If S is not contained in any child of a stopping cube, then we have the following upper bounds for $1 \leq j < n$ with a constant C depending only on d, n, B ,

$$(2.32) \quad |S|^{-1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_S\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \leq C|R|^{-1} \|f_j \mathbf{1}_R\|_{p_j}^{p_j} \leq C|Q|^{-1} \|f_j\|_{p_j}^{p_j}.$$

In the latter inequality we have used (2.8) and the fact that R is not contained in any stopping cube of the first stopping time. We also have

$$(2.33) \quad |S|^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}_S\|_q^q \leq C|R|^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}_R\|_q^q \leq C|Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q^q.$$

Here the last inequality follows by estimating the various terms in the expansion of $\mathbf{g}$. Indeed, we have

$$\|g_R\|_q^q \leq |R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q^q$$

since R is not contained in any stopping cube P of the first stopping time. We also have for the same reason

$$\|[g]_Q u_R\|_q^q \leq C|R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q^q.$$

Next we have

$$\left\| \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} g_P \mathbf{1}_R \right\|_q^q \leq |R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q^q$$

by disjointness of the cubes $P \in \mathbf{P}$ and by the upper bounds from (2.8). Similarly

$$\left\| \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4} \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} u_P \mathbf{1}_R \right\|_q^q \leq |R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q^q.$$

Similarly we estimate the terms corresponding with the stopping cubes $P \in \mathbf{P}_4 \cap \mathbf{P}$. For the cubes from $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_4$ and $\mathbf{P}'_4$ we use their bounded overlapping. We further obtain the upper bounds

$$(2.34) \quad |S|^{-1} \|v_S\|_r^r \leq C|R|^{-1} \|v\|_r^r$$

and

$$(2.35) \quad |S|^{-1} \|u_S\|_q^q \leq C|R|^{-1} \|u_R\|_q^q \leq C|Q|^{-1} \|u\|_q^q$$

and

$$(2.36) \quad |\Lambda_S(v, \varrho)| \leq CF(|S|/|Q|)^{1-1/q} (|R|/|Q|)^{-1/r} \|\varrho\|_q \|v\|_r$$

and

$$(2.37) \quad |\Lambda_S(\varrho, u)| \leq CF(|S|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} \|\varrho\|_r \|u\|_q$$

for any function ϱ supported on S and with mean zero.

Let $\bar{\mathbf{S}}_4$ be the collection of parents of dyadic cubes in $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4$ and let $\mathbf{S}'_4$ be the collection of dyadic cubes which are siblings of cubes in $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4$ but not themselves cubes in $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4$. Define

$$(2.38) \quad \mathfrak{h} = h_R - [h]_R v - \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} h_S + \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S - \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4} h_S + \sum_{S \in \bar{\mathbf{S}}_4} \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S - \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}'_4} \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S$$

and note that $\mathfrak{h}$ is supported on R and $[\mathfrak{h}]_R = 0$. As in the first stopping time, the desired bound for A' follows from

$$(2.39) \quad |\Lambda_R(h - \mathfrak{h}, \hat{\mathbf{g}})| \leq (A'(1 - \varepsilon) + C) F|R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r$$

and

$$(2.40) \quad |\Lambda_R(\mathfrak{h}, \hat{\mathbf{g}})| \leq CF|R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

where C may depend on B , n , d , and the tuple $(p_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$. Arguing similarly as in the proof of (2.13) one obtains (2.39). In what follows we repeat this argument with the necessary minor changes.

To obtain the bound in (2.39) we estimate separately the contributions of the various terms of the difference $h_R - \mathfrak{h}$. For doing so, for each $S \in \mathbf{S}$ we add and subtract to $h_R - \mathfrak{h}$ a new term involving the function $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}$ associated with the permutation τ and the chain $\mathbf{Q}_S$ given by

$$Q_1 \supseteq Q_2 \dots \supseteq Q_{k-1} \supseteq S \supseteq \dots \supseteq S,$$

that is the chain $\mathbf{Q}_S$ coincides with $\mathbf{Q}_R$ up to entry $k-1$ and then stabilizes to S . Then we obtain

$$(2.41) \quad h_R - \mathfrak{h} = [h]_R v + \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}} (h_S - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k})$$

$$(2.42) \quad + \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \left([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S \right)$$

$$(2.43) \quad + \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4} [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \sum_{S \in \bar{\mathbf{S}}_4} \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S + \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}'_4} \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S.$$

We have by multilinearity and the testing assumption (1.9)

$$|\Lambda_R([h]_R v, \hat{\mathbf{g}})| \leq CF(|R|/|Q|)^{1-1/q-1/r} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}\|_q \|v\|_r \leq CF|R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r,$$

where we have used (2.32), (2.33), norm bound condition (1.7) for v and $\|h\|_r = |Q|^{1/r}$.

Next we consider the sum from (2.41) involving the stopping cubes in $\mathbf{S}$ and we write with the smoothness condition (1.2)

$$(2.44) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Lambda_R \left(\sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}} h_S - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right) \\ &= \sum'_{S \in \mathbf{S}} \Lambda_S(h - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}) + \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} \Lambda_S(h - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum'$ denotes summation restricted to the cubes which are contained in cubes of the first stopping time and $\sum''$ denotes summation restricted to the cubes which are not contained in any cube of the first stopping time.

Let us handle the first summand of (2.44) and note if $S \subseteq P$ for some $P \in \mathbf{P}$ then there exists φ_S such that $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_S = \varphi_S u_S$ with the additional provision that $\varphi_S = 0$ if $u_S = 0$. Indeed, if S is contained in a stopping cube P of the first stopping time, then those terms in (2.12) which are not multiple of u cancel and we have in case $P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4$

$$(2.45) \quad |\varphi_S| \leq |[g]_Q| + \left| \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} \right| + \sum_{P' \in \bar{\mathbf{P}}_4 \cup \mathbf{P}'_4 : S \subseteq P'} \left| \frac{[g]_{P'}}{[u]_{P'}} \right| \leq C|Q|^{-1/q} \|g\|_q.$$

Similarly if $P \in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4$

$$(2.46) \quad |\varphi_S| \leq |[g]_Q| + \sum_{P' \in \bar{\mathbf{P}}_4 : S \subseteq P'} \left| \frac{[g]_{P'}}{[u]_{P'}} \right| \leq C|Q|^{-1/q} \|g\|_q.$$

To estimate the first sum in (2.44) we use (2.37) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |\Lambda_S(h - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \varphi_S u)| &\leq CF \|g\|_q \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}} (|S|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} \|h_S - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}\|_r \\ &\leq CF |R| |Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

To handle the second summand in (2.44) observe that

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} \Lambda_S(h - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}) \\ &= \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} \Lambda_S(h, \mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.48) \quad - \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} \Lambda_S([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u)$$

$$(2.49) \quad + \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} \Lambda_S(h - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, ([\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} - [\mathbf{g}]_R [u]_R^{-1}) u).$$

We use estimate (2.23) with A' and obtain for (2.47) that

$$\sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |\Lambda_S(h, \mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u)| \leq A' F |Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |S| \leq (1 - \varepsilon) A' F |R| |Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

Here we have used that $\sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |S| \leq (1 - \varepsilon) |R|$. In view of testing condition (1.9) with data $\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |\Lambda_S(b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u)| &\leq CF \|h\|_r \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} (|S|/|Q|)^{1-1/q} \|\mathbf{g}_S - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u_S\|_q \\ &\leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r |Q|^{-1} \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |S| \leq CF |R| |Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r \end{aligned}$$

since S is not contained in any cube of the first stopping time and $\|\mathbf{g}_S - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u_S\|_q \leq (|S|/|Q|)^{1/q} \|g\|_q$. This gives the desired bound for (2.48). To estimate (2.49) observe that

$$|[\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} - [\mathbf{g}]_R [u]_R^{-1}| \leq C(|[\mathbf{g}]_S| + |[\mathbf{g}]_R|) \leq |Q|^{-1/q} \|g\|_q.$$

Therefore, with the aid of (2.37) we obtain

$$|Q|^{-1/q} \|g\|_q \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S}} |\Lambda_S(h - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, u)|$$

$$\leq CF\|g\|_q \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}}'' (|S|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} \|h_S - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}\|_r \leq CF|R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

We now consider (2.42) and note that by smoothness condition (1.2) we have

$$(2.50) \quad \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \Lambda_R \left([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right) \\ \left(\sum'_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} + \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \right) \Lambda_S \left([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right).$$

For the first sum in (2.50), in view of (2.37), we obtain the desired bound, since

$$\sum'_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \Lambda_S \left([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right) = \sum'_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \varphi_S \Lambda_S \left([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v, u \right)$$

and $|\varphi_S| \leq |Q|^{-1/q} \|g\|_q$. To estimate the second sum in (2.50) we have to proceed in a similar way as for the second sum from (2.44). Namely, we write

$$(2.51) \quad \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \Lambda_S \left([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right) \\ = \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} [h]_S \Lambda_S (b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u)$$

$$(2.52) \quad - \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} \Lambda_S (v, \mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u)$$

$$(2.53) \quad + \sum''_{S \in \mathbf{S} \setminus \mathbf{S}_4} \Lambda_S \left([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k} - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v, ([\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} - [\mathbf{g}]_R [u]_R^{-1}) u \right).$$

The sum in (2.51) can be estimated by the testing condition (1.9) with the data $\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S$. The sum in (2.52) can be estimated in view of (2.36) since $(\mathbf{g} - [\mathbf{g}]_S [u]_S^{-1} u) \mathbf{1}_S$ has mean zero. Arguing similarly as in the proof of (2.49) we can estimate the sum in (2.53).

Finally, it remains to bound (2.43) which can be written as $\sum_{S \in \overline{\mathbf{S}}_4} \xi^S$, where for each $S \in \overline{\mathbf{S}}_4$ the function ξ^S is defined as

$$(2.54) \quad - \frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v_S + \sum_{S' \in \mathbf{S}'_4 : \overline{S'} = S} \frac{[h]_{S'}}{[v]_{S'}} v_{S'} + \sum_{S' \in \mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4 : \overline{S'} = S} [h]_{S'} b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{S'}, k}.$$

Exactly S and the children of S contribute to ξ^S . Note that at least one child of S is in $\mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4$. The mean of ξ^S is zero. Hence we can write with the smoothness condition (1.2)

$$\sum_{S \in \overline{\mathbf{S}}_4} \Lambda_R(h, \xi^S) = \sum_{S \in \overline{\mathbf{S}}_4} \Lambda_S(h, \xi^S).$$

Expanding ξ^S again into three terms as in (2.54) and considering the terms separately, we obtain

$$(2.55) \quad \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4} \Lambda_{\overline{S}}([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}) - \sum_{S \in \overline{\mathbf{S}}_4} \Lambda_S \left(\frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right) + \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S}'_4} \Lambda_{\overline{S}} \left(\frac{[h]_S}{[v]_S} v, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right).$$

Similarly as above we have to split the sums into $\sum'$ and $\sum''$. However, in the first and the third sum in (2.55) $\sum'$ denotes summation restricted to the cubes whose parents are contained in cubes

of the first stopping time and $\sum''$ denotes summation restricted to the cubes whose parents are not contained in any cube of the first stopping time.

Then we write for each $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$f_j \mathbb{1}_{\overline{S}} = f_j \mathbb{1}_S + \sum_{S' \neq S, \overline{S'} = \overline{S}} f_j \mathbb{1}_{S'}$$

and expand the multilinear form correspondingly. Any term in the expansion which has a $f_j \mathbb{1}_{S'}$ for some j can be estimated by the decay condition (1.1) so that we obtain for the penultimate display the upper bound

$$\left| \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4} \Lambda_S([h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}) \right| + C \sum_{S \in \mathbf{S} \cap \mathbf{S}_4} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k+1}}^n \|f_j \mathbb{1}_{\overline{S}}\|_{p_j} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{\overline{S}}\|_q \leq CF \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

Here we have estimated the first term using testing condition (1.9) with the data $\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S$.

To estimate the second and third sum in (2.55) we add and subtract $[h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}$. The sums involving $[h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}$ can be estimated in the same way as the first sum in (2.55). The sums involving $[h]_S [v]_{\overline{S}}^{-1} v_S - [h]_S b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_S, k}$ are estimated similarly to the case in (2.50). The cubes in $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_4$ are not pairwise disjoint, but they have bounded overlap since they are not contained in any cube of $\mathbf{S}_5$ by construction. Similarly the cubes in $\mathbf{S}'_4$ have bounded overlap. This completes the proof of (2.39). We are thus reduced to showing (2.40).

2.5. The main estimate. For a dyadic cube $T \subseteq R$ define the number φ_T by

$$[\hat{\mathbf{g}}]_T = \varphi_T [u]_T$$

provided $[u]_T \neq 0$. If $[u]_T = 0$, then necessarily T is contained in a stopping cube P of the first stopping time and $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$ is a multiple of u on T so that we may define the number φ_T by

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_T = \varphi_T u_T,$$

and $\varphi_T = 0$ if u vanishes on T . If T is not contained in a stopping cube P of the first stopping time, then we obtain an estimate for φ_T by expanding $\mathbf{g}$ as in (2.12) and noting that for stopping time cubes P of $\mathbf{P}$ which intersect T and therefore are strictly contained in T the sum of terms in the expansion of $\mathbf{g}$ relating to P has vanishing mean on T :

$$|\varphi_T| \leq C \left| \frac{[g]_T}{[u]_T} \right| + C \left| \frac{[g]_R}{[u]_R} \right| \leq C |Q|^{-1/q} \|g\|_q.$$

If T is contained in a stopping cube P of the first stopping time, then those terms in (2.12) which are not multiple of u cancel and we have in case $P \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{P}_4$

$$|\varphi_T| \leq |[g]_Q| + \left| \frac{[g]_P}{[u]_P} \right| + \sum_{P' \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}_4 \cup \mathbf{P}'_4: T \subseteq P'} \left| \frac{[g]_{P'}}{[u]_{P'}} \right| \leq C |Q|^{-1/q} \|g\|_q.$$

Similarly we argue if $P \in \mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}_4$.

Analogously for a dyadic cube $T \subseteq R$ we define the number ψ_T by

$$[\mathbf{h}]_T = \psi_T [v]_T$$

if $[v]_T \neq 0$ and by

$$\mathbf{h}_T = \psi_T v_T$$

if $[v]_T = 0$ with the additional provision $\psi_T = 0$ if $v_T = 0$. Similarly as for φ_T above we conclude

$$|\psi_T| \leq C |R|^{-1/r} \|h_R\|_r.$$

Let N be an integer such that the integral kernel of Λ is constant on all dyadic cubes of length $2^{-N}\ell(R)$, where $\ell(R)$ denotes the side-length of the cube R . It is no harm to assume all other functions involved are also constant on dyadic cubes of side-length $2^{-N}\ell(R)$, this can be seen by appropriate limiting process as $N \rightarrow \infty$, none of the estimates below will depend on the specific choices of N . We write the left-hand side of (2.40) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.56) \quad & \sum_{|T|, |U|=2^{-N}\ell(R)} \Lambda_R(\psi_T v_T, \varphi_U u_U) = \sum_{|T|, |U|=2^{1-N}\ell(R)} \Lambda_R(\psi_T v_T, \varphi_U u_U) \\
 & - \sum_{|T|, |U|=2^{1-N}\ell(R)} \Lambda_R\left(\psi_T v_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} v_{T'}, \varphi_U u_U\right) - \sum_{|T|, |U|=2^{1-N}\ell(R)} \Lambda_R\left(\psi_T v_T, \varphi_U u_U - \sum_{\overline{U'}=U} \varphi_{U'} u_{U'}\right) \\
 & + \sum_{|T|, |U|=2^{1-N}\ell(R)} \Lambda_R\left(\psi_T v_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} v_{T'}, \varphi_U u_U - \sum_{\overline{U'}=U} \varphi_{U'} u_{U'}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The function

$$\psi_T v_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} v_{T'}$$

has vanishing mean and is supported on T . Hence the smoothness condition (1.2) turns the second term in the telescoping sum into the diagonal sum

$$\sum_{|T|=2^{1-N}\ell(R)} \Lambda_R\left(\psi_T v_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} v_{T'}, \varphi_T u_T\right).$$

Similarly the third and fourth term of the telescoping expansion turn into diagonal sums. Now we iterate the above telescoping argument. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is supported on R and has integral zero, we may restrict the sum to cubes contained in R . We thus obtain for (2.56)

$$(2.57) \quad \Lambda_R(\psi_R v, \varphi_R u)$$

$$(2.58) \quad - \sum_{T \subseteq R} \Lambda_R\left(\psi_T v_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} v_{T'}, \varphi_T u_T\right)$$

$$(2.59) \quad - \sum_{T \subseteq R} \Lambda_R\left(\psi_T v_T, \varphi_T u_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \varphi_{T'} u_{T'}\right)$$

$$(2.60) \quad + \sum_{T \subseteq R} \Lambda_R\left(\psi_T v_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} v_{T'}, \varphi_T u_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \varphi_{T'} u_{T'}\right).$$

The first term (2.57) is estimated by the testing assumption (1.9) for the data $\tau, \mathbf{Q}_R$ and by the stopping time conditions using that R is not contained in a stopping time cube of the first stopping time. We obtain that

$$|\Lambda_R(\psi_R v, \varphi_R u)| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j \mathbf{1}_R\|_{p_j} \leq C |R| |Q|^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}.$$

The other terms will be estimated in the next few sections.

2.6. The estimate for term (2.58). We write for (2.58)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{T \subseteq R} \Lambda_R \left(\varphi_T (\psi_T v_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} v_{T'}), u \right) \\ &= \Lambda_R \left(\sum_{T \subseteq R} \varphi_T v (\psi_T \mathbf{1}_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} \mathbf{1}_{T'}), u \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the first step, we have moved the factor φ_T to the first entry by bilinearity, and we abandoned the factor $\mathbf{1}_T$ in the second entry thanks to the smoothness condition (1.2).

Let us define

$$\theta^T = \varphi_T (\psi_T \mathbf{1}_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \psi_{T'} \mathbf{1}_{T'})$$

and

$$\theta = v \sum_{T \subseteq R} \theta^T.$$

Note that θ has mean zero. By estimate (2.10) from the first stopping time we may estimate (2.58) by

$$CF(|R|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} |Q|^{1/q} \|\theta\|_r.$$

It remains to show

$$\|\theta\|_r \leq C |R|^{1/r} |Q|^{-1/r-1/q} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

We may restrict the sum to those $T \subseteq R$ not contained in a stopping cube of the second stopping time, since the contribution from cubes T contained in a stopping cube of the second stopping time vanishes due to the fact $v\theta^T = 0$.

The set of such cubes we write as $\mathbf{T} \cup \overline{\mathbf{P}}$, where $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ contains those cubes which are parents of stopping cubes of the second stopping time other than $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_4$, and $\mathbf{T}$ contains all other cubes, which then are not contained in any stopping cube or parent in $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ of any stopping cube of the second stopping time other than $\overline{\mathbf{P}}_4$.

Let $\mathbf{P}_R$ be the partition of R consisting of all stopping cubes of the second stopping time, all of which have length at least $2^{-N}\ell(R)$, and the collection of cubes of side-length $2^{-N}\ell(R)$ not contained in any of the stopping cubes of the second stopping time.

Let $\tilde{v}$ be such that for every $P \in \mathbf{P}_R$ the function $\tilde{v}$ is constant on P and

$$\int_P \tilde{v} = \int_P |v|^r.$$

Then $\tilde{v} \in L^\infty$ and

$$|R| \|\tilde{v}\|_\infty \leq C \|v\|_r^r$$

by the second stopping time construction. Now splitting the norm according to the partition $\mathbf{P}_R$ we have

$$\|\theta\|_r^r = \left\| v \sum_{T \in \mathbf{T} \cup \overline{\mathbf{P}}} \theta^T \right\|_r^r = \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_R} \int_P \tilde{v} \left| \sum_{T \in \mathbf{T} \cup \overline{\mathbf{P}}} \theta^T \right|^r \leq C \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_R} \|\tilde{v}_P\|_\infty \int_P \left| \sum_{T \in \mathbf{T} \cup \overline{\mathbf{P}}} \theta^T \right|^r.$$

We estimate the contributions of $\mathbf{T}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ separately. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{T \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}} \theta^T \right\|_r &\leq |R|^{1/r} \left\| \sum_{T \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}} \theta^T \right\|_\infty \leq C |R|^{1/r} \sup_{T \subseteq R} |\varphi_T| \sup_{T \subseteq R} |\psi_T| \\ &\leq C |R|^{1/r} |Q|^{-1/r-1/q} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired estimate for the $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -portion of the sum.

Now let $\hat{v}$ be such that for every $P \in \mathbf{P}_R$ the function $\hat{v}$ is constant on P and

$$[\hat{v}]_P = [v]_P.$$

Then $\hat{v} \in L^\infty$ and

$$|R| \|\hat{v}\|_\infty^r \leq C \|v\|_r^r$$

by the stopping time construction. Observe that

$$\sum_{T \in \mathbf{T}} \theta^T = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{T}} \frac{[\hat{\mathbf{g}}]_T}{[u]_T} \left(\frac{[\mathbf{h}]_T}{[\hat{v}]_T} \mathbf{1}_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \frac{[\mathbf{h}]_{T'}}{[\hat{v}]_{T'}} \mathbf{1}_{T'} \right).$$

We expand

$$(2.61) \quad \frac{[\mathbf{h}]_T}{[\hat{v}]_T} - \frac{[\mathbf{h}]_{T'}}{[\hat{v}]_{T'}} = \frac{[\mathbf{h}]_T - [\mathbf{h}]_{T'}}{[\hat{v}]_T} + \frac{[\mathbf{h}]_{T'}([\hat{v}]_{T'} - [\hat{v}]_T)}{[\hat{v}]_T[\hat{v}]_{T'}}.$$

Then for any function $w \in L^{r'}$ such that $\|w\|_{r'} \leq 1$ one has

$$(2.62) \quad \left| \int \sum_{T \in \mathbf{T}} \frac{[\hat{\mathbf{g}}]_T}{[u]_T} \left(\frac{[\mathbf{h}]_T}{[\hat{v}]_T} \mathbf{1}_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \frac{[\mathbf{h}]_{T'}}{[\hat{v}]_{T'}} \mathbf{1}_{T'} \right) w \right| \\ = \left| \int \sum_{T \in \mathbf{T}} \frac{[\hat{\mathbf{g}}]_T}{[u]_T} \left(\frac{[\mathbf{h}]_T}{[\hat{v}]_T} \mathbf{1}_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \frac{[\mathbf{h}]_{T'}}{[\hat{v}]_{T'}} \mathbf{1}_{T'} \right) \hat{v} \left(\frac{[w]_T}{[\hat{v}]_T} \mathbf{1}_T - \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} \frac{[w]_{T'}}{[\hat{v}]_{T'}} \mathbf{1}_{T'} \right) \right| \\ \leq C \|g\|_q |Q|^{-1/q} \sum_{T \subseteq R} \left(\Delta_T \mathbf{h} \Delta_T w + E_T w \Delta_T \mathbf{h} \Delta_T \hat{v} + E_T \mathbf{h} \Delta_T \hat{v} \Delta_T w + E_T \mathbf{h} E_T w (\Delta_T \hat{v})^2 \right) |T|,$$

where

$$(2.63) \quad E_T f = |[f]_T| \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_T f = \left(|T|^{-1} \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} |[f]_{T'} - [f]_T|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.71 we conclude in view of (2.62) that

$$\left\| \sum_{T \in \mathbf{T}} \theta^T \right\|_r \leq C \|g\|_q |Q|^{-1/q} \|\mathbf{h}\|_r \leq C |Q|^{-1/q-1/r} |R|^{1/r} \|g\|_q \|\mathbf{h}\|_r.$$

This is the desired estimate for the $\mathbf{T}$ -portion of the sum.

2.7. Estimate of the term (2.59). This term is analogous to the term (2.58).

2.8. Estimate of the term (2.60). We consider (2.60). We may assume that the sum runs only over those cubes T which are not contained in any stopping cube of either of the stopping times, or else one of the entry functions vanishes.

Let $\mathbf{R}$ be the set of all maximal cubes from $\mathbf{P} \cup \mathbf{S}$. Let $\mathbf{S}_R$ be the partition of R consisting of all stopping cubes from $\mathbf{R}$, all of which have length at least $2^{-N} \ell(R)$, and the collection of cubes of side-length $2^{-N} \ell(R)$ not contained in any of the stopping cubes from $\mathbf{R}$. Let $\hat{u}$ be the function such that for every $P \in \mathbf{S}_R$ the function $\hat{u}$ is constant on P and

$$[\hat{u}]_P = [u]_P.$$

Then $\hat{u} \in L^\infty$ and $|R| \|\hat{u}\|_\infty^q \leq C \|u_R\|_q^q \leq C |R| |Q|^{-1} \|u\|_q^q$. Analogously, let $\hat{v}$ be the function such that for every $P \in \mathbf{S}_R$ the function $\hat{v}$ is constant on P and

$$[\hat{v}]_P = [v]_P.$$

Then $\hat{v} \in L^\infty$ and $|R| \|\hat{v}\|_\infty^r \leq C \|v\|_r^r$.

We may replace the form Λ_R by Λ_T for fixed T by using (1.2). We then expand (2.60) by writing each cube T as union over its 2^d children and apply this in each component, so that we obtain

$$(2.64) \quad \sum_{T \subseteq R} \sum_{\overline{T}_1=T} \sum_{\overline{T}_2=T} \dots \sum_{\overline{T}_n=T} |\Lambda_T((\psi_T - \psi_{T_k})v_{T_k}, (\varphi_T - \varphi_{T_{k+1}})u_{T_{k+1}})|$$

here the j -th entry for $j \neq k, k+1$ is $f_j \mathbb{1}_{T_j}$, while the k -th and $k+1$ -th entry are explicitly given.

We split this sum into the off-diagonal terms, that is the terms for which $T_j \neq T_i$ for at least one pair (j, i) and the remaining 2^d diagonal terms. The off diagonal terms are estimated via the decay assumption (1.1) by

$$\begin{aligned} & C|\psi_T - \psi_{T_k}| \|\psi_{T_k}\|_r |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T_{k+1}}| \|\varphi_{T_{k+1}}\|_q \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbb{1}_T\|_{p_j} \\ & \leq CF |\psi_T - \psi_{T_k}| |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T_{k+1}}| |T| |Q|^{-1+1/q+1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that by (2.61) we have

$$(2.65) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{T \subseteq R} \sum_{\overline{T}_k=T} \sum_{\overline{T}_{k+1}=T} |\psi_T - \psi_{T_k}| |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T_{k+1}}| |T| \\ & \leq C|R|^{1-1/q-1/r} \int \sum_{T \subseteq R} \left(\sum_{\overline{T}'=T} |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\overline{T}'=T} |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2} |T|^{-1} |R|^{-1+1/q+1/r} \mathbb{1}_T. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = qr/(q+r)$ and note that the last integral can be controlled from above by

$$\sup_{\|w\|_{L^{s'}} \leq 1} \int \sum_{T \subseteq R} \left(\sum_{\overline{T}'=T} |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\overline{T}'=T} |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2} E_T w$$

which in turn can be estimated by

$$\sup_{\|w\|_{L^{s'}} \leq 1} \sum_{T \subseteq R} \left(\Delta_T \mathfrak{h} \Delta_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} + E_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \Delta_T \mathfrak{h} \Delta_T \hat{u} + E_T \mathfrak{h} \Delta_T \hat{v} \Delta_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} + E_T \mathfrak{h} E_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \Delta_T \hat{v} \Delta_T \hat{u} \right) |T| E_T w.$$

The diagonal terms are parameterized by T' with $\overline{T}' = T$. We may replace Λ_T by $\Lambda_{T'}$ since all entry functions are supported on T' . We estimate diagonal terms via adding and subtracting a term involving the function $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{T'}, k}$:

$$(2.66) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\psi_T - \psi_{T'}) (\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}) \Lambda_{T'}(v, u) \\ & = (\psi_T - \psi_{T'}) (\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}) \Lambda_{T'}([v]_{T'} b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{T'}, k}, u) \\ & \quad + (\psi_T - \psi_{T'}) (\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}) \Lambda_{T'}(v - [v]_{T'} b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{T'}, k}, u). \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (2.66) is estimated via the testing assumption for $b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{T'}, k}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}| |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}| |[v]_{T'}| \|b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{T'}, k}\|_r \|u_{T'}\|_q \prod_{j \neq k, k+1} \|f_j \mathbb{1}_{T'}\|_{p_j} \\ & \leq CF |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}| |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}| |T| |Q|^{-1+1/q+1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (2.66) is estimated via the stopping time condition (2.10) applied to the cube T :

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq CF |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}| |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}| (|T|/|Q|)^{1-1/r} \|v - [v]_{T'} b_{\tau, \mathbf{Q}_{T'}, k}\|_r \|u\|_q \\ & \leq CF |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}| |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}| |T| |Q|^{-1+1/q+1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe again that by (2.61) we have

$$(2.67) \quad \sum_{T \subseteq R} \sum_{\overline{T}'=T} |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}| |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}| |T|$$

$$= |R|^{1-1/q-1/r} \int \sum_{T \subseteq R} \left(\sum_{\overline{T'}=T} |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}| \mathbb{1}_{T'} \right) \left(\sum_{\overline{T'}=T} |(\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}) \mathbb{1}_{T'}| \right) |R|^{1/q+1/r-1} \mathbb{1}_R.$$

The last integral can be controlled by

$$\sup_{\|w\|_{L^{s'}} \leq 1} \sum_{T \subseteq R} \left(\sum_{\overline{T'}=T} |\psi_T - \psi_{T'}|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\overline{T'}=T} |\varphi_T - \varphi_{T'}|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2} E_T w$$

which in turns can be dominated by

$$C \sup_{\|w\|_{L^{s'}} \leq 1} \sum_{T \subseteq R} \left(\Delta_T \mathfrak{h} \Delta_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} + E_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \Delta_T \mathfrak{h} \Delta_T \hat{u} + E_T \mathfrak{h} \Delta_T \hat{v} \Delta_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} + E_T \mathfrak{h} E_T \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \Delta_T \hat{v} \Delta_T \hat{u} \right) E_T w |T|.$$

Collecting the estimates from (2.65) and (2.67) and applying Lemma 2.72 we can dominate (2.64) by

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq CF(|R|/|Q|)^{1-1/q-1/r} \|\mathfrak{h}_R\|_r \|\mathfrak{g}_R\|_q \|\hat{u}_R\|_\infty \|\hat{v}_R\|_\infty \\ &\leq CF|R||Q|^{-1} \|g\|_q \|h\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the estimation of (2.60).

2.9. Two standard lemmas via outer measures. This section contains two standard estimates for martingale sums and differences. Our purpose will be to reprove these estimates using outer measures techniques, the use of these outer measure techniques in the context of L^p estimates in harmonic analysis has been initiated in [7]. We present only as much of the material from [7] as necessary to illustrate our proofs, for more details we refer to [7].

Let X be the subset of the set $\mathcal{D}$ of all dyadic cubes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ consisting of all dyadic cubes of sidelength at least 2^{-N} for suitably large N and contained in a large compact set of $\mathbb{R}^d$ depending on the truncation parameters of the form Λ . All that follows will concern the collection X . For a dyadic cube T let $\mathcal{D}(T)$ denote the set of all dyadic cubes $T' \subseteq T$. As in [7] let μ be the outer measure on X generated by the function

$$\kappa(\mathcal{D}(T)) = |T|.$$

To define the outer measure spaces we have to introduce the so-called size functions. Namely, for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and a function F on $\mathcal{D}$ we define

$$S_p(F)(\mathcal{D}(T)) := \left(|T|^{-1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(T)} |F(Q)|^p |Q| \right)^{1/p}$$

and for $p = \infty$ we set

$$S_\infty(F)(\mathcal{D}(T)) := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(T)} |F(Q)|.$$

For the size function S , which is one of the functions S_p or S_∞ we define the space $L^\infty(X, \kappa, S)$ which consists of all functions F on X such that

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(X, \kappa, S)} := \sup_{T \in \mathcal{D}} |S(F)(\mathcal{D}(T))| < \infty.$$

In order to define the $L^p(X, \kappa, S)$ spaces we need to introduce the superlevel measure μ as

$$\mu(S(F) > \lambda) := \inf \{ \mu(\mathcal{G}) : \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \text{ and } S(F \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \leq \lambda \};$$

this specific definition is the crux of the matter of the theory developed in [7]. Then $L^p(X, \kappa, S)$ is the set of all functions F such that

$$\|F\|_{L^p(X, \kappa, S)} := \left(p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \mu(S(F) > \lambda) d\lambda \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Moreover the weak $L^{p,\infty}(X, \kappa, S)$ is the set of all functions F such that

$$\|F\|_{L^{p,\infty}(X, \kappa, S)} := \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^p \mu(S(F) > \lambda) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

For a dyadic cube T and a function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$ define

$$E(f)(T) = E_T f := |[f]_T|, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(f)(T) = \Delta_T f := \left(|T|^{-1} \sum_{\overline{T'}=T} |[f]_{T'} - [f]_T|^2 |T'| \right)^{1/2}.$$

We now prove the following discrete version of Carleson's embedding theorem.

Theorem 2.68. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every function $f \in L^p$ we have*

$$(2.69) \quad \|E(f)\|_{L^p(X, \kappa, S_\infty)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}$$

and

$$(2.70) \quad \|\Delta(f)\|_{L^p(X, \kappa, S_2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Proof. In view of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem for the outer measure spaces [7] it suffices to prove estimates (2.69) and (2.70) for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$. For the proof of (2.69) note that

$$\|E(f)\|_{L^\infty(X, \kappa, S_\infty)} = \sup_{T \in \mathcal{D}} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(T)} |E_Q f| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For $p = 1$ fix $f \in L^1$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all maximal cubes Q such that $[|f|]_Q > \lambda$, then by the maximality of the cubes Q we see that

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} |Q| \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}.$$

This immediately implies that

$$\mu(S_\infty(E(f)) > \lambda) \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} |Q| \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}$$

since $S_\infty(E(f)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \leq \lambda$, where $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}(Q)$. This completes the proof of (2.69) for $p = 1$.

For the proof of (2.70) for $p = \infty$ it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(\Delta(f))(\mathcal{D}(T))^2 &= |T|^{-1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(T)} \sum_{\overline{Q'}=Q} |[f]_{Q'} - [f]_Q|^2 |Q'| \\ &= |T|^{-1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(T)} \int_Q \left| \sum_{\overline{Q'}=Q} ([f]_{Q'} - [f]_Q) \mathbb{1}_{Q'} \right|^2 \leq |T|^{-1} \int_T |f|^2 \leq \|f\|_\infty^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $p = 1$ we perform the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition at a height $\lambda > 0$. Let

$$b = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} (f - [f]_Q) \mathbb{1}_Q$$

be a bad function and let $g = f - b$ be a good function. We see that $[b]_Q = 0$ for every $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|g\|_\infty \leq 2^d \lambda$. Finally, we obtain that

$$\mu(S_2(\Delta(f)) > 2^d \lambda) \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} |Q| \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}$$

since $S_2(\Delta(f)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{G}}) = S_2(\Delta(g)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \leq 2^d \lambda$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

These are norm estimates for the martingale average and the martingale difference of f on T .

Lemma 2.71. *Let $f_1 \in L^p$ and $f_2 \in L^{p'}$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $f_3 \in L^\infty$ such that $\|f_3\|_\infty \leq 1$. Assume we are given coefficients α_T such that for every dyadic cube $T \subseteq R$ we have*

$$|\alpha_T| \leq C \left(\Delta_T f_1 \Delta_T f_2 + E_T f_2 \Delta_T f_1 \Delta_T f_3 + E_T f_1 \Delta_T f_3 \Delta_T f_2 + E_T f_1 E_T f_2 (\Delta_T f_3)^2 \right) |T|.$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{T \subseteq R} |\alpha_T| \leq C \|f_1 \mathbf{1}_R\|_p \|f_2 \mathbf{1}_R\|_{p'}.$$

Proof. By the Hölder's inequality for the outer measure spaces [7] we see that

$$\sum_{T \subseteq R} \Delta_T f_1 \Delta_T f_2 |T| \leq \|\Delta_T f_1\|_{L^p(X, \kappa, S_2)} \|\Delta_T f_2\|_{L^{p'}(X, \kappa, S_2)},$$

$$\sum_{T \subseteq R} E_T f_1 \Delta_T f_3 \Delta_T f_2 |T| \leq \|E_T f_1\|_{L^p(X, \kappa, S_\infty)} \|\Delta_T f_2\|_{L^{p'}(X, \kappa, S_2)} \|\Delta_T f_3\|_{L^\infty(X, \kappa, S_2)}$$

In a similar way we proceed with $E_T f_1 \Delta_T f_3 \Delta_T f_2$ and $E_T f_1 E_T f_2 (\Delta_T f_3)^2$. Finally, applying Theorem 2.68 we obtain the desired bounds. $\square$

Lemma 2.72. *Let $f_1 \in L^p$, $f_2 \in L^q$, $f_3 \in L^{(pq/(p+q))'}$ with $1 < p, q < \infty$ and let $f_4, f_5 \in L^\infty$ be such that $\|f_4\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\|f_5\|_\infty \leq 1$. Assume we are given coefficients α_T such that for every dyadic cube $T \subseteq R$ we have*

$$|\alpha_T| \leq C \left(\Delta_T f_2 \Delta_T f_1 + E_T f_1 \Delta_T f_2 \Delta_T f_5 + E_T f_2 \Delta_T f_4 \Delta_T f_1 + E_T f_2 E_T f_1 \Delta_T f_4 \Delta_T f_5 \right) E_T f_3 |T|.$$

Then

$$\sum_{T \subseteq R} |\alpha_T| \leq \|f_1 \mathbf{1}_R\|_{L^p} \|f_2 \mathbf{1}_R\|_{L^q} \|f_3 \mathbf{1}_R\|_{L^{(pq/(p+q))'}}.$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of the previous lemma.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. AUSCHER, S. HOFMANN, C. MUSCALU, T. TAO AND C. THIELE. Carleson measures, trees, extrapolation and Tb theorems. *Publ. Mat.* 46, (2002), 257–3325.
- [2] P. AUSCHER AND E. ROUTIN. Local Tb theorems and Hardy inequalities. *Journal of Geometric Analysis* 23(1), (2013), 303–374.
- [3] P. AUSCHER AND QI XIANG YANG. BCR algorithm and the Tb theorem. *Publ. Mat.* 51, (2009), no. 1, 179–196.
- [4] M. CHRIST. A $T(b)$ theorem with remarks on analytic capacity and the Cauchy integral. *Colloq. Math.* 60/61, (1990), 601–628.
- [5] G. DAVID, J.-L. JOURNÉ. A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón-Zygmund operators. *Ann. Math.* (2) 120, (1984), no. 2, 371–397.
- [6] G. DAVID, J.-L. JOURNÉ, AND S. SEMMES. Opérateurs de Calderón-Zygmund, fonctions para-accrétives et interpolation. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 1, (1985), 1–56.
- [7] Y. DO AND C. THIELE. L^p theory for outer measures and two themes of Lennart Carleson united. *Preprint* 2014.
- [8] L. GRAFAKOS, R. TORRES. Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory. *Adv. Math.* 165, (2002), 124–164.
- [9] L. GRAFAKOS, R. TORRES. On multilinear singular integrals of Calderón-Zygmund type. Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential equations (El Escorial, 2000) *Publ. Mat.* (2002), Vol. Extra, 57–91.
- [10] A. GRAU DE LA HERRÁN, J. HART, AND L. OLIVEIRA. Multilinear local TB theorem for square functions *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 38, (2013), 697–720.
- [11] J. HART. A Bilinear $T(b)$ Theorem for Singular Integrals. *Preprint*: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.0385>
- [12] S. HOFMANN. A proof of the local Tb Theorem for standard Calderón-Zygmund operators. *Unpublished manuscript* (2007) <http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.0840>
- [13] T. HYTÖNEN AND F. NAZAROV. The local $T(b)$ theorem with rough test function. *Preprint* (2012) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.0907>

- [14] V. KOVAČ, C. THIELE. A $T(1)$ theorem for entangled multilinear dyadic Calderón–Zygmund operators. *Illinois J. Math.* 57, (2013), no. 3, 775–799.
- [15] M. LACEY, A. VÄHÄKANGAS. The perfect local Tb theorem and twisted martingale transforms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142, (2014), no. 5, 1689–1700.
- [16] F. NAZAROV, S. TREIL AND A. VOLBERG. Accretive systems $T(b)$ theorems on non-homogeneous spaces. *Duke Math. J.* 113, (2002), no. 2, 259–312.
- [17] E. M. STEIN. Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals. *Princeton University Press, Princeton* (1993).

M. MIREK, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, D–53115 BONN, GERMANY
& INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIwersYTET WROCLAWSKI, PLAC GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50–384 WROCLAW, POLAND
E-mail address: mirek@math.uni-bonn.de

C. THIELE, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, D–53115 BONN, GERMANY
& UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, DEP. OF MATHEMATICS, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA
E-mail address: thiele@math.uni-bonn.de